Proc. 29th Int. Conf. Low Temperature Physics (LT29) JPS Conf. Proc. **38**, 011122 (2023) https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSCP.38.011122

Pressure Effect on the Dielectric Anomaly in the Antiferromagnetic Manganite CaMn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}O₃

Haruka Taniguchi¹, Tomoki Toyama¹, Taisei Minatogawa¹, Hitoshi Kurihashi¹, Satoru Kobayashi¹, Michiaki Matsukawa¹, and Ramanathan Suryanarayanan²

¹Iwate University, 4-3-5 Ueda, Morioka, Iwate 020-8551, Japan ²Université Paris-Sud, 91405 Orsay, France (Retired)

E-mail: tanig@iwate-u.ac.jp

(Received July 31, 2022)

Pressure plays a critical role in tuning the interactions and controlling the electronic state. In this study, the physical pressure effects on the dielectric constant, magnetization, and local activation energy were investigated for CaMn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}O₃ of which dielectric constant is substantially changed by a magnetic field. The dielectric peak temperature T_{ε} which suggests a dipole ordering exhibited a decreasing trend, whereas the magnetization peak temperature T_M which indicates an antiferromagnetic transition and the local maximum temperature of the local activation energy T_{ρ} which suggests a charge ordering were slightly enhanced by pressure. The observed negative correlation of T_{ε} with T_M and T_{ρ} under physical pressure is surprising because T_{ε} exhibits a positive correlation with T_M and T_{ρ} under the isovalent Sr substitution for Ca which induces a chemical pressure. The enhancement of the antiferromagnetism and the accompanying charge ordering by physical pressure could be attributed to the increasing superexchange interaction due to the suppression of the Mn-O bond length. Although the mechanism of the pressure dependence of T_{ε} is not resolved at present, it might suggest the existence of two competing interactions similarly to the displacive type ferroelectrics.

KEYWORDS: manganite, pressure, electric polarization, antiferromagnetism, charge ordering

1. Introduction

In general, pressure plays a critical role in controlling the electronic state. For example, extensive research on conventional ferroelectrics has shown that the pressure P effect on the ferroelectric transition temperature $T_{\rm FE}$ is classified by the origin of the electric polarization: the sign of $dT_{\rm FE}/dP$ is negative for displacive type ferroelectrics induced by a zone-center-type phonon mode, positive for order-disorder type ferroelectrics, and negative for hydrogen-bond type ferroelectrics [1–3]. Regarding magneto-electrics, significant pressure effects on the dielectric properties were reported in TbMnO₃ [4]: at approximately 5 GPa the direction of the electric polarization rotates from the *c* axis to the *a* axis and its size becomes 15 times larger, accompanying the magnetic phase transition from the cycloid magnetic phase to the E-type antiferromagnetic phase.

In 2004, Efremov *et al.* proposed a new type of magneto-electrics of which origin is a charge ordering [5]. In 2018, we reported that CaMn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}O₃ of which crystal structure is a perovskite as shown in Fig. 1 (d) is a possible candidate of this charge-ordering type magneto-electrics [6, 7]. In CaMn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}O₃, both the local activation energy $E_{\text{local}} = d(ln\rho)/d(1/T)$ (ρ : electric resistivity, *T*: temperature) and the magnetization exhibits a local maximum at approximately 130 K. Since the valency of Sb is proved to be 5+ by the x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy and the deviation of the oxygen content from 3 is evaluated to be between -0.02 and +0.01 by the electron probe micro analyzer,

 Mn^{3+} of $(3d)^4$ and Mn^{4+} of $(3d)^3$ coexist in Ca $Mn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}O_3$. The local maximum in $E_{local}(T)$ observed also in a single crystalline sample which is free from grain boundary effects seems to originate from a charge ordering between Mn^{3+} and Mn^{4+} similarly to (Ca,Sr)(Mn,Mo)O₃ [8]. The maximum in M(T) indicates an antiferromagnetic transition, which is consistent with the negative Weiss temperature estimated from the Curie-Weiss fit. Although the magnetic structure of $CaMn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}O_3$ is not resolved yet, a candidate is the C-type antiferromagnetism which is realized in $(Ca,Ce)MnO_3$ with the ratio of Mn^{3+} to Mn^{4+} same as $CaMn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}O_3$ [9]. Interestingly, at a temperature similar to the charge ordering temperature T_{ρ} , the real part of the dielectric constant exhibits a broad peak which is substantially sensitive to a magnetic field. We performed the Maxwell-Wagner fit which describes the system with four components of bulk capacitance, bulk resistance, surface capacitance and surface resistance, and revealed that the peak is caused by the bulk capacitance component [10]. The relaxation time and the activation energy estimated from the Arrhenius plot based on the frequency dependence of the dielectric peak temperature T_{ε} suggests that dipoles consisting of polarons are ordered and form an electric polarization below T_{ε} . We also performed the isovalent Sr^{2+} substitution for Ca²⁺ in which a negative chemical pressure is expected because of the difference of the ionic radius between Sr^{2+} and Ca^{2+} , and revealed that T_{ε} is enhanced similarly to T_{ρ} and the Neel temperature T_M . The observed positive correlation between T_{ε} and T_{ρ} is consistent with the scenario of the charge-ordering type magneto-electrics. However, it should be noted that the elementary substitution inevitably includes the disorder effect as well as the pressure effect.

In this study, in order to clarify the pure pressure effect in CaMn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}O₃, we measured the dielectric constant, magnetization, and resistivity under physical pressures up to 2.0, 1.0, and 1.5 GPa, respectively. T_{ε} exhibited a decreasing trend, whereas T_{ρ} and T_{M} were slightly enhanced by the pressure. The negative correlation of T_{ε} with T_{ρ} and T_{M} under the physical pressure which was newly revealed in this study is contrast to the positive correlation under the Sr substitution and cannot be interpreted based on the simple model supposing the charge-ordering type magneto-electrics. The observed enhancement effects on the antiferromagnetism and the accompanying charge ordering by the positive physical pressure as well as the negative chemical pressure can be consistently understood by considering the Mn-O-Mn bond angles and the Mn-O bond length which are two important factors affecting the strength of the superexchange interaction.

2. Experimental

Polycrystalline samples were synthesized by the following sol-gel method. Stoichiometric CaCO₃, Mn(NO₃)₂·4H₂O, and Sb were dissolved in nitric acid. Citric acid was added to form chelate complexes and ethylene glycol was added to cause ester polymerization. The obtained sol was heated at approximately 80 °C to evaporate excess water. The remaining gel was dried at 600 °C for 6 hours. The obtained powder was pressed into a pellet and calcined in air twice, at 1000 °C for 48 hours and at 1350 °C for 48 hours. By the Rietveld analysis utilizing the RIETAN-FP program [11], the powder x-ray diffraction pattern was described by a *Pnma* orthorhombic structure (*a* = 5.387 Å, *b* = 7.548 Å, *c* = 5.344 Å), as same as our previous samples synthesized by a solid state reaction [10]. All the samples in this work (S1-3) were cut from the same pellet. S1 with the dimensions of 4.20 mm² × 1.00 mm was used for the dielectric constant measurement. S2 of 11.9 mg was used for the magnetization measurement. For S3 with the dimensions of 2.60 × 1.85 × 0.60 mm³ of which resistivity and dielectric constant were measured, annealing in O₂ gas flow at 1000 °C for 24 hours was performed before the dielectric constant measurement in order to release possible remaining lattice distortion obtained by the preceding resistivity measurement under pressure.

For detecting the dielectric constant and the resistivity under pressure, we designed a pistoncylinder type pressure cell made of Ni-Cr-Al and Cu-Be and a self-made probe which can be installed in a commercial PPMS (Quantum Design). The dielectric constant was measured by the parallel mode of an LCR meter (Agilent, E4980A) with an AC electric field of 1 V and the resistivity was measured by the resistivity mode of the PPMS. The magnetization was measured by the background subtraction mode of a commercial MPMS (Quantum Design) under 1 T after field cooling with a piston-cylinder type pressure cell made of Cu-Be. In all the measurements, we utilized the mixture of fluorinert FC-70 and FC-77 with the ratio of 1:1 as the pressure medium, which is common for measuring dielectric constant [3, 12–14] as well as resistivity [15, 16] and magnetization [17].

3. Results and Discussion



Fig. 1. The temperature dependence of the real part of the dielectric constant of $CaMn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}O_3$ under several pressures for (a) the sample S1 with an electric field of 100 kHz, (b) the sample S3 with an electric field of 100 kHz, (d) The crystal structure of $CaMn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}O_3$. (e) The frequency dependence of the real part of the dielectric constant and (f) the Cole-Cole plot for the sample S3 at 55 K under several pressures.

Figure 1 (a-c) presents the temperature dependence of the real part of the dielectric constant ε'_r of CaMn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}O₃ under several pressures. Among these measurements with different samples (S1 and S3) and different conditions (100 kHz and 1 MHz), the broad dielectric peak was sustained under high pressures. As discussed in detail in our previous paper [10], the dielectric peak is induced by the bulk capacitance component: First, if the dielectric peak is induced by the bulk resistance component, the sign of the apparent "magneto-capacitance effect" should be positive [18, 19], which is opposite to the observed magneto-capacitance effect. Second, the calculated dielectric constant using the Maxwell-Wagner model and the measured resistivity value excludes the possibility of the surface resistance component. The calculation does not reproduce the observed peak, and the difference of the calculated dielectric constant between 0 T and 1 T is within the error margin in contrast to the observed significant magneto-capacitance effect. Third, the possibility of the surface capacitance component is also excluded, because the dielectric peak was not affected by the thickness ratio of the

sample (bulk) to the Ag paste terminal (surface) and also observed in single crystalline samples which are free from the grain boundary. Therefore, the dielectric peak suggests a glassy electric polarization. The peak temperature T_{ε} of S1 was monotonically suppressed up to 2.0 GPa. T_{ε} of S3 also exhibited a decreasing trend, but was enhanced between 1.0 and 1.5 GPa. The reason for this T_{ε} enhancement is not clear, but could be attributed to the decline of the pressure homogeneity by the solidification of fluorinert at 1.0 GPa.

Comparing the panel (b) with (c), the $\varepsilon'_r(T)$ curve at 100 kHz exhibited a 2-step decrease below T_{ε} , whereas $\varepsilon'_r(T)$ at 1 MHz exhibited a 1-step decrease. The steep decrease below approximately 60 K for the $\varepsilon'_r(T)$ curve at 100 kHz can be interpreted as the surface effect as we previously showed by the Maxwell-Wagner fit [10]. The surface contribution as well as the bulk contribution was also observed in the frequency f dependence of ε'_r (Fig. 1 (d)) and the Cole-Cole plot (Fig. 1 (e)) at 55 K at which $\varepsilon'_r(T)$ of 100 kHz exhibited the steep decrease. The ε'_r decrease with increasing f temporarily stopped at approximately 250 kHz. Corresponding to the anomaly observed in $\varepsilon'_r(f)$, the Cole-Cole plot exhibited two semicircles. This behavior is typical of the materials with multi-component dielectric responses: the bulk contribution is dominant above the critical frequency f_c at which one semicircle ends and another starts, whereas the surface contribution is not negligible below f_c . In CaMn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}O₃, the f_c value was almost unchanged by the pressure: f_c was 250, 255, 275, 256, and 254 kHz at 0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, and 1.8 GPa, respectively.



Fig. 2. (a) The temperature dependence of the magnetization of CaMn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}O₃ under several pressures for the sample S2 under 1 T after field cooling. The temperature dependence of (b) the resistivity ρ and (c) the local activation energy $E_{\text{local}} = d(ln\rho)/d(1/T)$ of CaMn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}O₃ under several pressures for the sample S3.

Figure 2 presents the temperature dependence of the magnetization M, the resistivity ρ and the local activation energy E_{local} under several pressures. The M(T) curves and the $E_{\text{local}}(T)$ curves were not significantly affected by the pressure. The peak at approximately 135 K in M(T) indicates the antiferromagnetic transition, and the local maximum at approximately 130 K in $E_{\text{local}}(T)$ suggests the charge ordering.

The pressure dependence of the dielectric peak temperature T_{ε} , the magnetization peak temperature T_M , and the local maximum temperature of the local activation energy T_{ρ} revealed by the present study is summarized in Fig. 3. For comparison, the chemical pressure effect by the isovalent Sr substitution for Ca [10] is also plotted. The chemical pressure values were estimated using the unit cell volume of Ca_{1-x}Sr_xMn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}O₃ [10] and the bulk modulus of CaMnO₃ [20] under the approximation that the bulk modulus of CaMn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}O₃ is comparable to that of CaMnO₃. Surprisingly, in contrast to the Sr substitution in which T_{ε} , T_M , and T_{ρ} exhibited a similar trend, the physical pressure effects on T_M and T_{ρ} were qualitatively different to that on T_{ε} : T_M and T_{ρ} were slightly enhanced under the physical pressure, whereas T_{ε} was suppressed. The enhancement of the Neel temperature by the physical pressure has been reported in many other manganites AMnO₃ (A = Ca, Sr, La, Pr, and Sm) [21].

We consider that the origin of the T_M enhancement by the positive physical pressure is different



Fig. 3. The pressure dependence of the dielectric peak temperature T_{ε} , the magnetization peak temperature T_M , and the local maximum temperature of the local activation energy T_{ρ} of CaMn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}O₃. For comparison, the chemical pressure effect under the isovalent Sr substitution for Ca [10] is also plotted. The chemical pressure values were estimated using the unit cell volume of Ca_{1-x}Sr_xMn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}O₃ [10] and the bulk modulus of CaMnO₃ [20] under the approximation that the bulk modulus of CaMnO₃. Open circles, filled circles, triangles, and squares denote T_{ε} at 1 MHz, T_{ε} at 100 kHz, T_M , and T_{ρ} , respectively.

from that by the negative chemical pressure. The antiferromagntism in CaMn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}O₃ should be induced by the superexchange interaction resulting from the overlap of the *d* orbitals of Mn and the *p* orbitals of O. Both the Mn-O-Mn bond angle and the Mn-O bond length are important factors to determine the orbital overlap. Under the physical pressure, the Mn-O bond length should be decreased, enhancing the superexchange interaction. In contrast, the Mn-O-Mn angle substantially approaches 180 degrees by the Sr substitution in CaMnO₃ [22]. For the Sr substitution in CaMn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}O₃, the observed drastic T_M enhancement suggests that the change of the Mn-O-Mn angle is similar to that in Sr-substituted CaMnO₃ and enhances the superexchange interaction exceeding the increasing effect of the Mn-O bond length.

The charge ordering phase in CaMn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}O₃ under the ambient pressure emerged almost simultanesouly with the antiferromagnetic phase, similarly to that in Nd_{0.5}Sr_{0.5}MnO₃ and Pr_{0.5}Sr_{0.5}MnO₃ [23]. It seems that this relation was sustained also under the physical pressure and the Sr subsitution and T_{ρ} was enhanced accompanying the T_M enhancement which was induced by the increased superexchange interaction.

With respect to the dielectric peak, the sign of dT_{ε}/dP of CaMn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}O₃ was negative both under the physical pressure and under the chemical pressure. The negative correlation of T_{ε} with T_{ρ} under the physical pressure indicates the difficulty to explain the electric polarization by the simple charge ordering model. Further study is required to clarify the mechanism of the dipole ordering in CaMn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}O₃. As a possible scenario, the situation might be somewhat similar to the displacive type ferroelectrics induced by a zone-center phonon mode which exhibit a negative $dT_{\rm FE}/dP$: a shorter range interaction which prevents the electric polarization exists in addition to the interaction which promotes the electric polarization [1].

4. Summary

We investigated the physical pressure effects on the dipole ordering, antiferromagnetism, and charge ordering of CaMn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}O₃ by measuring the dielectric constant, magnetization, and electric resistivity. The dielectric peak temperature T_{ε} exhibited a decreasing trend in both the samples,

although T_{ε} was temporarily enhanced between 1.0 and 1.5 GPa in one sample, which could be attributed to the solidification of the pressure medium. In contrast to the T_{ε} suppression, the magnetization peak temperature T_M and the local maximum temperature of the local activation energy T_{ρ} were slightly enhanced. The observed T_M enhancement by the physical pressure suggests that the superexchange interaction was enhanced by the decrease of the Mn-O bond length, whereas the T_M enhancement by the isovalent Sr substitution which induces a negative pressure could be explained by the Mn-O-Mn bond angle approaching 180 degrees. The observed negative correlation of T_{ε} with T_{ρ} indicates that the simple charge ordering model is insufficient to fully understand the electric polarization in CaMn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}O₃.

5. Acknowledgment

We acknowledge M. Nakamura, N. Oshida, K. Sadamitsu, and K. Yoshida for the technical support. This work was supported by Iwate University, JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP17K14101.

References

- [1] G. A. Samara, T. Sakudo, and K. Yoshimitsu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35, 1767 (1975).
- [2] G. A. Samara, Ferroelectrics 7, 221 (1974).
- [3] T. Hikita, Y. Ono, and A. Bungo, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 61, 3794 (1992).
- [4] T. Aoyama, K. Yamauchi, A. Iyama, S. Picozzi, K. Shimizu, and T. Kimura, Nat. Commun. 5, 4927 (2014).
- [5] D. V. Efremov, J. Brink, and D. I. Khomskii, Nat. Mater. 3, 853 (2004).
- [6] H. Taniguchi, H. Takahashi, A. Terui, S. Kobayashi, M. Matsukawa, and R. Suryanarayanan, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 969, 012094 (2018).
- [7] H. Taniguchi, H. Takahashi, A. Terui, S. Kobayashi, M. Matsukawa, and R. Suryanarayanan, IEEE Trans. Magn. 55, 1000104 (2019).
- [8] T. Okuda and Y. Fujii, J. Appl. Phys. 108, 103702 (2010).
- [9] E. N. Caspi, M. Avdeev, S. Short, J. D. Jorgensen, M. V. Lobanov, Z. Zeng, M. Greenblatt, P. Thiyagarajan, C. E. Botez, and P. W. Stephens, Phys. Rev. B 69, 104402 (2004).
- [10] H. Taniguchi, H. Takahashi, A. Terui, K. Sadamitsu, Y. Sato, M. Ito, K. Nonaka, S. Kobayashi, M. Matsukawa, R. Suryanarayanan, N. Sasaki, S. Yamaguchi, and T. Watanabe, J. Appl. Phys. 127, 184105 (2020).
- [11] F. Izumi and K. Momma, Solid State Phenomena 130, 15 (2007).
- [12] Y. Akishige, H. Takahashi, N. Mori, and E. Sawaguchi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 63, 1590 (1994).
- [13] T. Ishidate, S. Abe, H. Takahashi, and N. Mori, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 2397 (1997).
- [14] Y. Sekine, N. Takeshita, N. Mori, M. Isobe, Y. Ueda, M. Kosaka, and Y. Uwatoko, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 70, 3660 (2001).
- [15] M. Kuwabara, M. Matsukawa, K. Sugawara, H. Taniguchi, A. Matsushita, M. Hagiwara, K. Sano, Y. Ono, and T. Sasaki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 85, 124704 (2016).
- [16] H. Taniguchi, Y. Nakarokkaku, R. Takahashi, M. Murakami, A. Nakayama, M. Matsukawa, S. Nakano, M. Hagiwara, and T. Sasaki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 90, 015001 (2021).
- [17] S. Toshima, M. Matsukawa, T. Chiba, S. Kobayashi, S. Nimori, and M. Hagiwara, Physica C 480, 1 (2012).
- [18] P. Lunkenheimer, V. Bobnar, A. V. Pronin, A. I. Ritus, A. A. Volkov, and A. Loidl, Phys. Rev. B 66, 052105 (2002).
- [19] P. Lunkenheimer, S. Krohns, S. Riegg, S. G. Ebbinghaus, A. Reller, and A. Loidl, Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics 180, 61 (2010).
- [20] J. Pietosa, W. Paszkowicz, R. Minikayev, J. Nowak, C. Lathe, and C. Martin, Powder Diffr. 26, 262 (2011).
- [21] J.-S. Zhou and J. B. Goodenough, Phys. Rev. B 68, 054403 (2003).
- [22] O. Chmaissem, B. Dabrowski, S. Kolesnik, J. Mais, D. E. Brown, R. Kruk, P. Prior, B. Pyles, and J. D. Jorgensen, Phys. Rev. B 64, 134412 (2001).
- [23] H. Kuwahara, Y. Tomioka, A. Asamitsu, Y. Moritomo, and Y. Tokura, Science 270, 961 (1995).